

Pending WRAC motions as of September 30, 2020

A. Support for Sign Ordinance Version B+: June 19, 2019 motion “re-issued” (approved minutes: <https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/WRAC-June-2019-meeting-minutes-2.pdf>):

The _____ Neighborhood Council fully supports Version B+ of the proposed sign ordinance as approved by the City Planning Commission on 10/22/2015, Council File 11-1705. We request the PLUM committee of the Los Angeles City Council adopt a version of the ordinance, which accomplishes the following goals that are important to the stakeholders of our community:

1. Disapproves any amnesty for existing billboards that lack permits or have been altered in violation of their permits
2. Disapproves the “grandfathering” of any sign districts that were not approved in the ordinance when it was reviewed by CPC in 2009.
3. Disapproves any new process, including a Conditional Use Permit process to authorize construction or operation of digital off-site signs outside of sign districts
4. Restricts any new off-site signs, including digital billboards, to sign districts in the 22 areas already zoned as Regional Commercial for high-intensity commercial use
5. Requires existing billboards to be taken down before any new off-site signs can go up in sign districts. The take down ratio of existing signs to new signs should be 5:1 for conventional and 10:1 for digital
6. Ban on new digital billboards or on conversion of existing billboards to digital
7. Request that the City Attorney review all signs without permits and those altered in violation of their permits for enforcement action and compliance.
8. Prohibits any off-site signage in city parks, recreation facilities and open spaces
9. Provides for stiff civil penalties with escalating penalties for erecting or maintaining illegal signs and for multiple or repeat offenses so that penalties are not viewed as a cost of doing business

Councils believed to have passed: PPCC, DRNC, BABCNC, WCC, SORONC, PNC, WNC – **Total 7**

B. Dockless Mobility Device Permit Denial: May 15, 2019 motion (in chair’s recap: <https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/May-2019-WRAC-recap.pdf>; approved minutes: <https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/WRAC-May-15-Meeting-Minutes.pdf>):

The _____ NC/CC urges the City to (1) deny a business license and/or Dockless Mobility Permit, or suspend and/or revoke any previously-issued business license and/or Dockless Mobility Permit, to any provider of Dockless Mobility Devices operating in the City that fails or refuses to cooperate fully with law enforcement in providing information about the user of its Dockless Mobility Device involved in an accident causing injury to another person; (2) if and as necessary, immediately enact additional regulations amending existing rules and/or data protection policies in the City’s Dockless Mobility Pilot Program to provide for issuance of business licenses and/or Dockless Mobility Permits only upon condition that providers cooperate fully with law enforcement under the circumstances set forth above; and (3) provide for suspensions, followed by revocation hearings, of any such licenses and/or permits issued to Dockless Mobility Device providers that fail or refuse to comply fully with law enforcement under the circumstances set forth above.

Councils believed to have passed: PPCC, BABCNC, BCC, WCC, WWNC, WNC, DRNC, MVCC – **Total 8**

C. Data Gathering from Ride-Sharing Companies: May 15, 2019 motion (in chair’s recap: <https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/May-2019-WRAC-recap.pdf>; approved minutes: <https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/WRAC-May-15-Meeting-Minutes.pdf>):

The _____ Neighborhood/Community Council requests that the State of California mandate that localities be empowered to assess the impact on traffic and congestion of ride sharing services by mandating comprehensive data from ride sharing companies available for study. Data should include time spent on the road to and from

their homes and between rides for all ridesharing drivers as compared to traffic that would be generated by private vehicles making each trip. The results of this survey should guide both local and state policy in regards to ridesharing and better understand our transportation system. Using such data, the City of Los Angeles should then establish benchmark dates prior to and after implementation of each ridesharing platform and study in a scientific manner using all data available to determine if ride sharing vehicles add to or lessen congestion within the City of Los Angeles.

Councils believed to have passed: PPCC, BABCNC, BCC, WCC, WWNC, WNC, DRNC – **Total 7**

D. Allow NCs to Communicate with non-City entities/electeds: June 17, 2020 motion (approved minutes: <https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/WRAC-June-FIN-minutes-1.pdf>):

RESOLVED ____ NC/CC insists that Neighborhood Councils are not prohibited from communicating with non-City of Los Angeles government representatives and/or staff not with entities other than those within the City, regarding issues impacting their neighborhoods; and that Neighborhood Councils are free to discuss any issues of local concern.

Councils believed to have passed: DRNC, BABCNC – **Total 2**

E. Oppose State Housing Density Bills/Support Other Measures re Affordable Housing: August 19, 2020 motion (in agenda: https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/WRAC_August_2020_Agenda_Zoom.pdf; draft minutes: <https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/WRAC-Aug.-2020-Minutes.pdf>):

Although Los Angeles TOC program provides some affordable housing, the housing crisis [ie workforce and moderate to low income] will not be solved without significant public funding. Zoning increases and increase in supply will not significantly reduce housing costs. Los Angeles is already zoned for 8 million persons, and can accommodate the 456,000 new RHNA homes already, so up-zoning in single family neighborhoods and other neighborhoods will not make housing more affordable.

Therefore, _____ NC/CC opposes these 8 proposed state bills: SB 902; SB 1120; SB 1085; SB 995; SB 1385; AB 725; AB 3040; AB 2345 because they will not increase affordability in Los Angeles. Instead, _____ NC/CC supports more public grant funding from state, cities and federal resources and encourages state bills that forward the goal of funding housing at levels that would make a positive difference to solving our affordable [ie workforce and moderate to low income] housing crisis such as SB 1299.

Pending; not yet passed by any member councils.

R. Request City to Comply with Ellis Act Provisions: August 19, 2020 motion (in agenda: https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/WRAC_August_2020_Agenda_Zoom.pdf; draft minutes: <https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/WRAC-Aug.-2020-Minutes.pdf>):

Resolved: That SB 330 provides that “protected” (RSO) units must be replaced on a 1:1 basis and this is not being complied with in Los Angeles; further SB 330 provides that “protected” (RSO) tenants may maintain residency in their units during the Ellis Act Eviction process until 6 months prior to commencement of construction, which is also not being complied with in the City of Los Angeles.

Therefore, _____ NC/CC demands that the City of Los Angeles comply with the provisions of SB 330 immediately to minimize the effects of displacement in the face of rising development caused by non-compliance with these provisions. And, further, that the City Attorney direct HCIDLA to add these two provisions to an Ellis Act Eviction checklist before approving any Ellis Act Eviction.

Councils believed to have passed: WNC – **Total 1**

F. Eliminate “Gut and Amend” bill process: September 16, 2020 motion (in agenda: <https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/WRAC-September-2020-Agenda-Zoom-1.pdf>; draft minutes: <https://westsidecouncils.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/WRAC-September-2020-Draft-Minutes-1.pdf>):

Whereas the Sacramento Legislative process known as “gut and amend” eliminates transparency and public participation by misleading the intent and content of the bill being considered,

The NC/CC _____ recommends the following reform: Eliminate the “gut and amend” bill process and request the City of Los Angeles include in its Sacramento legislative priorities the elimination of the “gut and amend” bill process.

Pending; not yet passed by any member councils.