



westsidecouncils.com

Bel Air-Beverly Crest Neighborhood Council  
Brentwood Community Council  
Del Rey Neighborhood Council  
Mar Vista Community Council  
Neighborhood Council of Westchester-Playa  
North Westwood Neighborhood Council  
Pacific Palisades Community Council

Palms Neighborhood Council  
South Robertson Neighborhoods Council  
Venice Neighborhood Council  
West LA-Sawtelle Neighborhood Council  
Westside Neighborhood Council  
Westwood Community Council  
Westwood Neighborhood Council

## MINUTES

### WRAC Meeting of the Board of Directors

July 19<sup>th</sup> 2021 – 6:00pm

**Webinar link:** <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85804559642>  
**Or Dial** 888 475 4499 (Toll Free) Webinar ID 858 0455 9642

ALL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE AT  
<https://tinyurl.com/44hzjw5z>

*Items on the Agenda may be taken in any order at the discretion of the Chair*

#### 1. Welcome/Introductions

- a. The WRAC Board conducted its regular monthly meeting by on-line video and audio on July 19, 2021. Chair Matt Wersinger called the meeting to order at 6:02pm. He welcomed everyone and noted that Venice & Del Rey NCs have new alternates, (Daffodil Tyminski & Ravi Sankaran respectively), Palms NC has a new primary representative (Randell Erving) and we'll hear about Mar Vista later this month.
- b. **9 Representatives present:** Matt Wersinger (WRAC Chair) (DRNC), Chris Spitz (WRAC Vice-Chair) (PPCC), Robin Greenberg (WRAC Secretary), (BABCNC), Stacy Shure (MVCC), Randell Erving (PNC), Steve Sann (WCC), Jane Wishon (WNC), Andrew Lewis (NWWNC), and Stephen Resnick (WWNC)
- c. **Nonvoting Alternates present:** Alt. Barbara Broide (WNC), Alt. Nicole Miner (BABCNC) & Alt. Ravi Sankaran (DRNC)
- d. **Also present:** Janet Turner from Congressman Ted Lieu's office, Deborah Hong from DWP, LA City Neighborhood Prosecutor, Veronica De La Cruz; Armine Sargsyan and Sonia Lopez from the office of Supervisor Holly Mitchell, Jennifer Poulakidas from UCLA, as well as WRAC LUPC Co-Chairs Kay Hartman (PNC) & Marlene Savage (DRNC), WRAC Mobility and Transportation Committee Chair, Nancy Matson, and Robert Schlesinger (BABCNC).
- e. **Chair Updates**
  - a. **Upcoming Guests –**
    - i. **August –** LA City Controller Ron Galperin

#### 2. Government Reports -

- a) **County Supervisor – Holly Mitchell:** President Wersinger welcomed Supervisor Mitchell and she thanked him. The Supervisor noted that the most important thing for her first 100 days was to assemble a team, with Lily, Armine, and others who have been standing with and by her.

Chair Wersinger provided questions including but not limited to the following with some comments noted. He began that our big concern is in regards to homelessness, that we have a lot of new money from the State & Federal Government, thanks to motion of Ted Lieu and Senator Feinstein, and he asked how she sees the money spent and going to the right places.

Supervisor Mitchell responded that her interest in public service goes back to talking about poverty in a meaningful way and developing policies that disrupt multiracial poverty including the myriad of issues that lead people to being unhoused, e.g., poverty level, lack of access to housing and mental health services, as well as a result of women victims of domestic violence. She noted that these will require a response that is as diverse as our unhoused population. She is thankful to have joined the County family at a time such as this when unprecedented resources, as a result of the dual pandemic, public health and economic, are coming to the local government, from both Federal and State level. As Former Chair of the Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee, she was glad to see a State Senate budget of 80 billion dollars in the black and bills signed Friday.

The Supervisor related that she is looking forward to having a real conversation at the County level about equity, noting that the pandemic and conditions that led to people finding themselves houseless before the epidemic speaks to equity. She sees the need to invest in areas of our community and in programs that will meaningfully lift people out of their current state, e.g., a bill, noting that LA County alone is over 500,000 housing units short. She was working with Dr. Sherin, from the LA County Department of Mental Health while in the legislature looking at Board & Care facilities, and thinks we have to think across the board for short-term remedies to get people off the street and not ignore intermediate- and longer-term strategies to house people.

Chair Wersinger asked about the open space locations for temporary housing of the homeless, to which the Supervisor noted that she doesn't think we can leave any option off the table, e.g., safe parking sites, looking at the program launched in Santa Barbara a couple of years ago. She thinks every community needs to provide open spaces like parking lots, as fair viable options.

Chair Wersinger noted that the Mental Health Department has to deal with what is probably a rampant mental health crisis, with the challenge to get teams out in a timely basis and looking at the proper care, while there is nowhere for people to go who are not at a critical level.

The Supervisor referenced a piece by Cal Matters "Explainers" on the California's mental health system, first published in 2019 and updated in 2020, as to how the Lanterman–Petris–Short Act led to reduction of population in the state mental health facilities, how the funding was reduced with what appeared to be disparate public policy decisions which left us with a system without enough and now decreasing psych practitioners of every type. She continued that while she and her community often express deep concern about police intervention in these cases, we have to own that we left people with limited options: There isn't a number to call for a mental health provider to show up when someone is in crisis. She noted that we are surprised to find the law enforcement officers who show up who are not trained. There are limited numbers of beds in ERs. She noted that we are looking for more acute psych bed facilities, trying to expand units at Harbor UCLA as they rebuild ... as 30% or higher of our unhoused suffer from mental illness. Prop 63's Mental Health Services Act [https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Pages/MH\\_Prop63.aspx](https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Pages/MH_Prop63.aspx) was a great infusion of new dollars but unfortunately with ballot box budgeting, the ways we can use those dollars are so restricted, some services can't be paid for. Same with HHH; we are unable to use those dollars for Board & Care, for example.

She noted we do need more community-based treatment, residential treatment for mental health, alcohol & substance abusing, and more facilities for adolescents, a population that has been horribly under resourced. There are some segments of our population that need locked facilities. We unfortunately have created a scenario for our county jail to become the biggest mental health facility in the country.

Chair Wersinger mentioned frustration with long-term issues and what we see on the street today, and that while LAHSA is trying to manage this there is a motion at the City Council to get LAHSA out. The Supervisor acknowledged that it could be working better but that LAHSA gets a bad rap. She reported having introduced a motion Tuesday which talked about when they were created and what they were charged with and what they have devolved to. She noted that there are so many different levels of government with a role to play, that we cannot point to one entity that is responsible. She noted that they are not responsible for construction of housing units, for example. Her hope was to figure out a way for the City to come together in a streamlined way to coordinate services better. She hopes to continue on such a motion and work collaboratively with the City. She spoke on the need to fix our government response, figure out who provides what support. She related that we feel it is not working through our current system because 288 get housed each day but the same amount hit the streets per day.

Chair Wersinger noted that regionally in SPA 5 we have one bed available and raised the question of law enforcement's role. He asked the Supervisor what her feelings are on what the Sheriff is up to, regarding Venice Beach and the City. He continued that we look at it as questioning what the Sheriff's office and Police will bring to it, and, on the other side, to enforce ADA clearance and that the encampments are not breeding grounds for human trafficking and drug abuse.

Supervisor Mitchell related that she is confused by the Sheriff's actions, that she and the Board of Supervisors have cut his budget and tied his hands saying that he has to close the MDL station... She noted that Venice is the responsibility of LAPD, and that we find ourselves again in a situation where so many people end up in County Jail, which is not the answer. She related that St. Josephs has been working powerfully with Councilmember Bonin moving people in the way that they need to be addressed. She noted that the unhoused is not a monolith group. Case workers with relationships with people are able to move them into housing, noting that so many of the unhoused cycle in and out of "houselessness" and we should include wrap around services.

Chair Wersinger asked when she looks at her district and sees the vast differences in the areas and costs, how to build the most housing units at the best cost? The Supervisor responded that it requires a diverse strategy, where all of us are willing and if it is truly our priority all of us need to step up. As to permanent supportive housing and where it goes, the question is what we build for whom and where, and opined that our blocks may look different, e.g., having multi-levels in single family areas, in transit areas, encourage people to stop driving and take public transit or to build the container units with no parking structure closer to transit, to take transit and not drive; all designs and concepts of which require that our blocks will look different. She considers this a fundamental "moral question" all of us have to ask ourselves – if we are comfortable with that. She noted that from the State legislature there is an effort to intervene where local control has, some would assume, failed, because local control can be blocked when neighborhood groups rise up and say I don't want that on my block... She noted that we have to be creative. She toured a container facility at the base of Baldwin Hills, walked through and found that those one hundred units with no parking leased in an instant. She thinks it is important that we build more low income and more market; build across the spectrum to meet everyone's needs. ...She opined that the government must step in to make sure that all of the housing needs are met.

Chair Wersinger noted that she is on the board of Metro and asked if she thinks that Metro realistically has a chance to regain the ridership we had prior to COVID, and about the motion on the future of the Sepulveda Transit Corridor Project. Supervisor Mitchell related that she thinks four of the five current draft plans include a stop at UCLA and that UCLA has representation without question. She believes ridership will return but it is her responsibility as a board member to help. We are up to 60% of pre-

COVID ridership. We cut bus service back drastically which affected the essential workers. It is important to make sure buses are clean, and that bus stops have updated schedules. This is a service we must pay attention to and focus on for the workers. Bus service is going through its biggest transformation in 20 years, transitioning to a fare-less system due to COVID and into the future. She wants to make it the best transit system we as Angelenos have deserved for generations and she believes it will return, particularly when working for the last mile. Chair Wersinger opened the floor to four questions, including but not limited to the following:

Member Broide (WNC) thanked the Supervisor for coming. She asked about some of the housing bills in Sacramento legislation, noting our concerns, especially about #SB9 & SB10, and that we need help from policymakers to talk about that and Wall Street institutional investors looking at our R1 properties. If we up-zone our R1 properties families won't be able to compete with Wall Street for a property. The Supervisor noted that she represents communities suffering from gentrification for years and that Wall Street largely has led to the gentrification of these communities, an economic issue. She noted that her deep issue coming out of COVID is that for the mom and pop property owners, e.g., many of her peers who inherited grandma's house, and her fear is that they will fall victim, won't be able to maintain their mortgages and Wall Street will take over again. Some communities have been losing properties for over a decade. Asked what we can collectively say yes to, the Supervisor mentioned Portantino's bill. She noted that Senator Weiner accepted her invitation to come to her district, so she could show him what SF zoning looks like.

Member Broide referred to mental illness that those on the streets are suffering with and asked what the Board of Supervisors can do to help get these folks off the street, noting the frustration, and that it is a civil rights issue. Further details of this was discussed including the frustration of the 30 year olds. The Supervisor agreed and noted that we have to have a myriad of solutions and need to be honest about what is the percentage of our unhoused. She added that we cannot negate that there are those who go to work every day who cannot afford to pay rent.

As a sitting member of the legislature, she voted on several bills to broaden the definition of "gravely disabled" 5150, so we could have a little more leverage. Those bills rarely got out of the first policy committee. The disability rights community rears up. Her response is we don't want to go back to pre-Lanterman-Petris-Short Act days, noting that you can't deny that there are people on the streets who cannot take responsibility for themselves; we didn't build community-based facilities and the pendulum swung from one extreme to the other, where there is not enough locked facilities and residential treatment. She noted that those bills failed over and over again; the legislature cannot move that needle.

The Supervisor noted that the County's Dr. Jonathan Sherin is passionate about this issue and wants to create settings where we move people, still probably outdoors and still tents, but bring services in a real direct manner. It is difficult where nearly every block has an encampment but Dr. Sherin says it is inhumane to allow people to stay that way. She noted that this involves a clash between two stakeholder groups that are not able to find middle ground. The County could not change state law, and it is the definition of gravely disabled to allow us to intervene against someone's will.

Member Resnick introduced himself as Secretary and Alternate for the WWNC, and thanked her for coming this evening. He asked if there is hope for help or reform for mental health with any of the billions of dollars coming, to which she responded that she thinks that Congress sent the first monies to local government, while the second allotment, the American Rescue Plan dollars, requires the need to prove that whatever they are using the funds for is a direct result of COVID, which might be tricky

dealing with mental health issues other than that we will see new increase in people seeking services, which she has seen in the last 16 months, but not in all services, as these dollars must be used as a result of a direct impact of COVID-created series of events.

Member Sann (WWCC) thanked her and let her know how much he has appreciated her, especially for her knowledge and keeping it real, which she appreciated. He has been chair or co-chair of the Homeless Committee a dozen years and involved in homelessness space since the 1980s.

He noted the tragic schism between the mental-health community which would oppose her efforts to look at the 5150 the Lanterman–Petris–Short Act. He made an observation that if anyone saw a helpless injured animal out on the street they would take that animal home or to the vet, or worst case, to a shelter; nobody would walk by and let an animal suffer like that, and what we are doing is fostering deep misery of human beings living on the street. He thinks that people who are living and dying on the sidewalks are not pursuing their happiness. He doesn't think that civil liberties was intended to let people live and die on the sidewalks and that this is a huge failure in the system.

As to housing, Member Sann would suggest that we have laws, TOC laws and others, and that on all of our commercial streets there is such massive capacity, e.g., streets with little one-story shops could be redeveloped to four or five or six stories, rebuilt with housing above. He opined that you don't have to destroy any legacy neighborhoods (listed). He thinks it's not an either/or, either destroy single family neighborhoods or build a lot more housing; there is an ability to do both.

The Supervisor agreed with Member Sann, noting that within reason there are ways to do a form of mixed use; not necessarily four- or five-story. She related her experience of owning a duplex and doesn't know why more duplexes and triplexes are not constructed. She thinks we have to be honest about what our neighborhoods have looked like and be clear about the differences on constructing multifamily housing. She agreed with Member Sann, and noted she is shocked by the construction that is happening on the main thoroughfares. She added that the people who live on those streets or off those thoroughfares have issues about construction because they are concerned about traffic.

She also agreed with Member Sann regarding the treatment of animals versus the treatment of people and would encourage him to reach out to Disability Rights California and other advocates who have stopped the progress of those bills, e.g., Miguel Santiago was the author of one. She noted it is important that your voices are heard, in addition to the stakeholders who speak in Sacramento, referring to those advocates, whom she fought for in the past, it is a community she stands with, and she told them they were wrong and had gone too far, and that they should be at the table, instead of just saying no, come to the table to make sure that the modifications did allow for protections. She noted that Lanterman, Petris & Short knew they had gone too far 50 years ago. The Supervisor concluded that we have got to reverse it.

Member Miner (BABCNC) thanked her and asked why the term has been changed from homeless to houseless, and commented that we are stewards of animals who can't look after themselves whereas human beings have self-determination; therefore she wouldn't make the analogy referenced above. The Supervisor discussed the semantics which make a difference, and that she has taken her lead from the unhoused or formerly unhoused which is the terminology they want to have used. Chair Wersinger thanked her for coming and hopes to see her again. County Supervisor Mitchell thanked the Chair for his graciousness, for his important questions and for allowing her to share her perspective.

- b) **Mayor's Office** – Brad Fingard: Chair Wersinger noted that Mayor Garcetti has been appointed Ambassador to India and that we will look for Brad next month.
- c) **Council Reports:** Chair Wersinger hopes everyone got the email from Janet re the motion by Ted Lieu to provide dollars that will be matched.
- d) **Additional Government Reports – Sachin Medhekar** introduced himself as new at Councilmember Bonin's office, with two positions, one as Organizing Deputy serving as a bridge between the Councilmembers' office and grassroots people on the ground for policy changes or legislatively, and as representative of the West territory. He has been a neighborhood council member for many years.

### 3. Board Member Updates

Member Broide (WNC) mentioned 1) the Sidewalk and Transit Amenities Program (STAP) which includes digital advertising feature, and 2) LA Now provides shuttle service, which is temporarily suspended, and for which they are seeking public input for restarting. <https://www.ladottransit.com/lanow/> to provide your input, and to see input from communities affected by COVID.

Member Wishon (WNC) noted that there will be a special vote by mail election on whether to recall the Governor. Ballots will be mailed in the middle of August. Election Day is middle of September. The ballot poses two questions: 1) Whether or not to recall the governor, and, 2) if the recall succeeds, who you vote for. Member Wishon noted that the Governor is not listed there. 68 candidates qualify.

Member Resnick (WWNC) related that the Board of Building & Safety Commissioners (BBSC) has a hearing tomorrow with item on the agenda as to a large apartment complex recently built and yet unoccupied just north of Little Santa Monica Blvd. and Malcolm... Appeal is to not issue or to revoke their certificate of occupancy because it is built on an earthquake fault. The appellant has been monitoring the project for some years. Member Resnick will try to tune in. Member Shure (MVCC) related that the BBSC meetings are open to the public; addresses traffic, transportation in your community, haul routes, effects of diesel on school children, and the way for people to know when a by-right project is filed with B&S and not with the Planning Department. She encouraged everyone to sign up for these notifications. In light of the Hollywood development which has been abandoned by the people with the leaning building, they were straddling on the Hollywood earthquake maps, the interaction with LADBS Commissioners drew attention to need to consider these earthquake faults.

- 4. **Committee Reports** – Nancy Matson Co-Chair of the Transportation Committee reported that Dave Gallon COO of Mocean Lab, a car-sharing firm, came to answer questions on this. He launched car sharing in WLA, using free floating cars parked on the street that can be left in certain zones. It is a project of Hyundai Motor Group. Mocean is one of only two car-sharing companies currently operating in Los Angeles, along with Blue LA. The company has five years to retool this and is extremely open to input. The committee was able to connect the company with Paul Koretz's office and they will go to DOT for input. The program is currently operating in Sawtelle, DTLA and Eagle Rock with all hybrid vehicles but the company wants to transition to electric. The project is at the beginning stages.

5. **Kay Hartman and Marlene Savage Co-Chairs of the LUPC** related that they have Gene Loh with them discussing a concepts for bus shelters, a proposal for the Sidewalk and Transit Amenities Program (STAP). Meet the team Jul 22<sup>nd</sup> at 12 and 7:30 pm. The city is planning a demonstration for finalists of bus shelters. Everyone is invited.
  
6. **Public Comment – Jennifer Poulakidas from UCLA** thanked Chair Wersinger for the chance to join us all. She is here to voice her strong support the motion that Andrew Lewis is bringing forward to have a UCLA station as part of the Sepulveda Transit Corridor, which she thinks is important for the success of the Metro line. She would be happy to talk about what’s going on at UCLA regarding return to campus in the fall. They expect 85% in-person classes, and that the residence halls will be full with 15,000 people back in the residence halls; they have re-implemented mask-wearing policy on campus, indoors for sure, and a regular COVID test policy for students, faculty & staff who come to campus once a week or more.
  
7. **Approval of Minutes —**
  - a. **Motion (Matt Wersinger) – Approval of June 2021 meeting minutes**  
Moved by Wersinger; seconded by Greenberg; 7-0-2; passed.

**8. New Business**

- a. **Motion (WRAC Transportation / Andrew Lewis): The Westside Regional Alliance of Councils (WRAC) strongly supports a Station located directly on the UCLA Campus as part of Metro’s Sepulveda Transit Corridor Project.**

The Westside Regional Alliance of Councils (WRAC) strongly echoes the sentiments expressed by numerous elected officials, neighborhood organizations, community groups, business associations, and many local citizens who urge that Metro must include a Station located directly on the UCLA campus as part of this pivotal transportation corridor project — specifically a one-seat ride from the San Fernando Valley directly to UCLA, hewing to Metro’s original proposal which suggested a 16-minute travel time for that segment, the fastest connection between the Valley and Westside and one that directly links people to where they want to go. This would be one of the most heavily trafficked Metro stops in the State, and would be the busiest non-transfer station in Metro’s system.

The Sepulveda Transit Corridor Project is part of the Measure M expenditure plan, with approximately \$5.7 billion for new transit service to connect the San Fernando Valley and the Westside, scheduled to open by 2033-35. Approximately \$3.8 billion is allocated to extend that service from the Westside to LAX with a 2057-59 opening date.

Metro is making strides to improve travel between the San Fernando Valley, the Westside and Los Angeles International Airport (LAX). The natural barrier created by the Santa Monica Mountains means that most people traveling between these areas are funneled primarily onto the I-405 Freeway, already ranked as one of the most heavily congested urban highways in the

nation. More than 400,000 people travel through this area every day to commute to work, school, and other destinations along the freeway and beyond.

Having a Metro Station located directly on the UCLA campus would also help transport the tens of thousands of individuals who travel to UCLA on a daily basis, including: UCLA students, staff, faculty, medical personnel, patients, and campus visitors.

Not having a Metro Station on the UCLA Campus would be a sorely missed opportunity, and have significant negative impacts on the West L.A. region and regional traffic congestion for decades to come. An on-campus UCLA Station also presents the opportunity to connect the Sepulveda Transit Corridor Project to the Metro Purple Line Subway Station Extension, which will have a Westwood/UCLA Station located at Wilshire & Westwood Boulevards.

To provide this essential connectivity between transit lines in the San Fernando Valley and the City, to provide the critical “first mile, last mile” link between the Wilshire Purple Line Subway and the UCLA campus, and to build a robust transportation system that will serve transit riders for many decades to come, we also urge the Metro Board to ensure that the Sepulveda Transit Corridor Project also connect directly from the on-campus UCLA Station to the Westwood/ UCLA Purple Line Subway Station at Wilshire Boulevard, to connect these two major north-south and east-west transit corridors.

**Moved** by Member Lewis (NWWNC) (carried over from last month) and **seconded** by Member Sann (WWCC). **Amendment:** Add one sentence to the next to last paragraph of the motion that Chair Wersinger circulated, stating: “Additionally, without a one-seat ride to the Valley, ridership would be significantly hindered, thereby negatively impacting Metro’s farebox recovery needs.” Vice Chair Spitz **seconded**. The amendment was unanimously **approved**

Member Lewis related that this motion calls for a station at UCLA; however he is unsure of how it gets beyond Westwood. What has been described to him lately is that the Purple Line stop at Wilshire and Westwood would be a two-tie combination stop and noted there are some draft plans on the website. Member Sann agreed with Member Lewis that this motion is very clearly saying that there has to be a stop on the UCLA campus. Jennifer Poulakidas from UCLA reiterated UCLA’s very strong support for his motion and for an on-campus station, noting that it is important for UCLA for the tens of thousands of people who make the trip every day and important for Westwood and for the greater Westside.

Vote of **9-0-0** was taken and the motion **unanimously passed as amended**.

## 9. Old Business

- a. **21-06 Enforcement of Crimes In or Near Homeless Encampments** – April 19, 2021 (*passage deadline July 2021*); 6 councils adopted: BABCNC, BCC, PPCC, WLASNC, WNC, WWNC.



- b. **21-07 Abate and Correct Unsafe and Unhealthy Conditions In Homeless Encampments** – April 19, 2021 (*passage deadline July 2021*); 7 councils adopted: BABCNC, BCC, PPCC,\* WLASNC, WNC, WWNC\* & MVCC (*\*modified version*)
- c. **21-08 Increase Funding to Support Additional Safe Parking Program** – April 19, 2021 (*passage deadline July 2021*); 7 councils adopted: BABCNC, BCC, DRNC, PPCC, WLASNC, WNC,\* WWNC\* (*\*modified version*)
- d. **21-09 Enforce Laws Prohibiting Blockage of Public Right of Way** – April 19, 2021 (*passage deadline July 2021*); 7 councils adopted: BABCNC, BCC, PPCC, WLASNC, WNC,\* WWNC & MVCC (*\*modified version*)  
**Motion** to extend all four of a. through d. for one month **moved** by Member Wishon and **seconded** by Vice Chair Spitz; **passed unanimously.**
- e. **21-10 Facilitate Greater Use of 5150 Holds and Conservatorship** – May 17, 2021 (*passage deadline August 2021*); 6 councils adopted: BABCNC, BCC, PPCC, WNC, WWNC & VNC
- f. **21-11 City Attorney Opinion re Financial Interest of Developer** – May 17, 2021 (*passage deadline August 2021*); 3 councils adopted: BABCNC, WNC, WWNC
- g. **21-12 Clarification regarding Cloud/Ghost Kitchens** – May 17, 2021 (*passage deadline August 2021*); 6 councils adopted: BABCNC, BCC, PPCC, WLASNC,\* WNC, WWNC (*\*modified version*)
- h. **21-13 Improved Signage & Enforcement EV Charging Stations** – May 17, 2021 (*passage deadline August 2021*); 5 councils adopted: BABCNC, BCC, DRNC, \* WNC, WWNC (*\*modified version*)
- i. **21-14 Support 20-0584 & 20-0498 – Incentives to Work from Home** – May 17, 2021 (*passage deadline August 2021*); 5 councils adopted: BABCNC, DRNC,\* MVCC,\* WLASNC,\* WNC (*\*modified version*)
- j. **ADOPTED - 21-15 Postpone CF 12-0460-S4 (Processes and Procedures Amendment)** – June 21, 2021 (*passage deadline September 2021*); 8 councils adopted: BCC, \* DRNC,\* MVCC,\* PPCC, PNC, WLASNC,\* WNC, WWNC (*\*modified version*)  
 - Chair Wersinger thanked Member Shure for all her work on this. Stacy Shure related that she has been working on this for three years and that after she and Member Broide gave comment on this, someone wrote a note to Member Broide asking about her concerns. She asked if any members who have sent in a CIS get a request about concerns please send her an email and let her know.
- k. **21-16 Oppose Misuse of Specific Plan Process** – June 21, 2021 (*passage deadline September 2021*); 3 councils adopted: WWNC, WLASNC,\* WNC (*\*modified version*)
- l. **21-17 Support for ACA 7** – June 21, 2021 (*passage deadline September 2021*); 3 councils adopted: PPCC, WNC, WWNC

**10. Adjourn:** Member Lewis thanked us all for the support on his motion and thanked Jennifer Poulakidas from UCLA for joining us. Member Shure thanked Chair Wersinger for the courtesy he extended to her and noted that it was a pleasure working with all of us. This will likely be her last meeting. Meeting adjourned at 7:34 pm. Next meeting will be held on August 16<sup>th</sup> 2021 at 6pm.