

## Background Information re Recommended Motion to Support Revisions to SB 330

1. This is a citywide issue because all Council Districts have medium- and high-density zones (RD1.5, R3, R4, R5) in which older, smaller apartments are demolished (pre1978 construction that triggers RSO/Ellis Act), and the TOC / SB1818 density bonus program is used in many of the redevelopments for these sites (if not most of them).
2. The City may have the option to mandate additive units, and the City Attorney opined that the units could double count (is this correct/accurate?)
3. The intent of the ordinance is to create more affordable housing, but it fails to do that by allowing new developments to only replace RSO units on a 1 to 1 basis with no additional affordable units.
4. The Senate changed the bill at the last minute to allow RSO replacement units to also count (i.e. double count) for density bonus and TOC units.
5. Stacy Shure (past MVCC Vice Chair and representative to WRAC) has contacted local state legislators, including Sen. Kamlager and Rep. Isaacs, and requested that they propose legislation to amend SB 330.
6. The City's action to make this a Sacramento legislative priority would be an important factor in convincing legislators to revise the bill as requested.
7. The issue is of concern to other cities in the State. Two San Francisco supervisors have voiced similar concerns.

*Jay Ross*

*Member/WLASNC representative, WRAC Land Use & Planning Cmtee (LUPC)*

*Member, West LA Sawtelle NC/Chair, WLASNC PLUM Cmtee*